### Automated Planning

#### B1. Transition Systems and Propositional Logic

Jendrik Seipp

Linköping University

based on slides from the AI group at the University of Basel

### Content of this Course



### Next Steps

#### Our next steps are to formally define our problem:

- $\blacksquare$  introduce a mathematical model for planning tasks: transition systems  $\sim$  Chapter B1
- $\blacksquare$  introduce compact representations for planning tasks suitable as input for planning algorithms
	- $\sim$  Chapter B2

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

# [Transition Systems](#page-3-0)

### <span id="page-4-0"></span>Transition System Example

Transition systems are often depicted as directed arc-labeled graphs with decorations to indicate the initial state and goal states.



## <span id="page-5-0"></span>Transition Systems

#### Definition (Transition System)

A transition system is a 6-tuple  $\mathcal{T} = \langle S, L, c, T, s_0, S_* \rangle$  where

■ S is a finite set of states,

■ *L* is a finite set of (transition) labels,

 $c:L\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+_0$  is a label cost function,

- *T* ⊆ *S* × *L* × *S* is the transition relation,
- $s_0 \in S$  is the initial state, and
- *<sup>S</sup>*⋆ <sup>⊆</sup> *<sup>S</sup>* is the set of goal states.

We say that  $\mathcal{T}$  has the transition  $\langle s, \ell, s' \rangle$  if  $\langle s, \ell, s' \rangle \in \mathcal{T}$ . We also write this as  $\mathsf{s} \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathsf{s}'$ , or  $\mathsf{s} \to \mathsf{s}'$  when not interested in  $\ell.$ 

Note: Transition systems are also called state spaces.

# <span id="page-6-0"></span>Deterministic Transition Systems

#### Definition (Deterministic Transition System)

A transition system is called deterministic if for all states *s*

and all labels *ℓ*, there is at most one state s' with s  $\stackrel{\ell}{\to}$  s'.

Example: previously shown transition system

# <span id="page-7-0"></span>Transition System Terminology (1)

#### We use common terminology from graph theory:

- *s* ′ successor of *s* if *s* → *s* ′
- *s* predecessor of *s'* if  $s \rightarrow s'$

# <span id="page-8-0"></span>Transition System Terminology (2)

#### We use common terminology from graph theory:

*s* ′ reachable from *s* if there exists a sequence of transitions

$$
s^0 \xrightarrow{\ell_1} s^1, \ldots, s^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\ell_n} s^n \text{ s.t. } s^0 = s \text{ and } s^n = s'
$$

■ Note: 
$$
n = 0
$$
 possible; then  $s = s'$ 

S<sup>0</sup>,..., S<sup>n</sup> is called (state) path from s to s'  
\n
$$
P
$$
,  $P$  is called (label) path from s to s'

$$
\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n
$$
 is called (label) path from s to s'  
 $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n$ 

**5** 
$$
\xrightarrow{t_1}
$$
  $s^1$ , ...,  $s^{n-1}$   $\xrightarrow{t_n}$   $s^n$  is called trace from *s* to *s'*

■ length of path/trace is *n* 

cost of label path/trace is  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c(\boldsymbol{\ell}_i)$ 

# <span id="page-9-0"></span>Transition System Terminology (3)

#### We use common terminology from graph theory:

- *s* ′ reachable (without reference state) means reachable from initial state s<sub>0</sub>
- solution or goal path from *s*: path from *s* to some  $s' \in S_{\star}$ 
	- if *s* is omitted,  $s = s_0$  is implied  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$
- **transition system solvable if a goal path from**  $s_0$  **exists**

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

# [Example: Blocks World](#page-10-0)

# <span id="page-11-0"></span>Running Example: Blocks World

- $\blacksquare$  Throughout the course, we occasionally use the blocks world domain as an example.
- In the blocks world, a number of different blocks are arranged on a table.
- Our job is to rearrange them according to a given goal.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>[Transition Systems](#page-3-0) **[Example: Blocks World](#page-10-0)** [Reminder: Propositional Logic](#page-18-0) [Summary](#page-25-0)<br>1989 - COOOOOO REMINDER: REMINDER: REMINDER: REMINDER: REMINDER<br>1989 - REMINDER: REMINDER: REMINDER: REMINDER: REMINDER: REMINDER: REMINDER:

### Blocks World Rules (1)

### Location on the table does not matter.





### <span id="page-13-0"></span>Blocks World Rules (2)

#### At most one block may be below a block.



#### At most one block may be on top of a block.



### <span id="page-14-0"></span>Blocks World Transition System for Three Blocks



Labels omitted for clarity. All label costs are 1. Initial/goal states not marked.

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

### <span id="page-15-0"></span>Blocks World Computational Properties



 $\blacksquare$  Finding solutions is possible in linear time

in the number of blocks: move everything onto the table, then construct the goal configuration.

**Finding a shortest solution is NP-complete** given a compact description of the problem.

## <span id="page-16-0"></span>The Need for Compact Descriptions

- $\blacksquare$  We see from the blocks world example that transition systems are often far too large to be directly used as inputs to planning algorithms.
- We therefore need compact descriptions of transition systems.
- For this purpose, we will use propositional logic, which allows expressing information about 2*<sup>n</sup>* states as logical formulas over *n* state variables.

### <span id="page-17-0"></span>What about PDDL?

- **PDDL** uses first-order logic to describe planning tasks: action schemas, variables and quantifiers, etc.
- **Most planning systems work on propositional representations.**
- Propositional tasks simplify the presentation of algorithms.
- There are compilers that translate PDDL to propositional logic: intuitively, they convert action schemas like move(?t - truck ?from - city ?to - city) to operators move(truck1, Stockholm, Linköping)

<span id="page-18-0"></span>

# [Reminder: Propositional Logic](#page-18-0)

# <span id="page-19-0"></span>More on Propositional Logic

#### Need to Catch Up?

- $\blacksquare$  This section is a reminder. We assume you are already well familiar with propositional logic.
- If this is not the case, we recommend the lectures on propositional logic of the Artificial Intelligence course: <https://www.ida.liu.se/~TDDC17>

# <span id="page-20-0"></span>Syntax of Propositional Logic

#### Definition (Logical Formula)

Let *A* be a set of atomic propositions.

The logical formulas over *A* are constructed by finite application of the following rules:

- **T** and  $\perp$  are logical formulas (truth and falsity).
- For all *a* ∈ *A*, *a* is a logical formula (atom).
- If  $\varphi$  is a logical formula, then so is  $\neg \varphi$  (negation).
- If  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are logical formulas, then so are  $(\varphi \vee \psi)$  (disjunction) and  $(\varphi \wedge \psi)$  (conjunction).

### <span id="page-21-0"></span>Syntactical Conventions for Propositional Logic

#### Abbreviations:

- $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$  is short for  $(\neg \varphi \lor \psi)$  (implication)
- $(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$  is short for  $((\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \land (\psi \rightarrow \varphi))$  (equijunction)

parentheses omitted when not necessary:

- $\blacksquare$  ( $\neg$ ) binds more tightly than binary connectives
- $( \wedge )$  binds more tightly than  $( \vee )$ , which binds more tightly than  $(\rightarrow)$ , which binds more tightly than  $(\leftrightarrow)$

# <span id="page-22-0"></span>Semantics of Propositional Logic

#### Definition (Interpretation, Model)

```
An interpretation of propositions A is a function I : A \rightarrow \{T, F\}.
```
Define the notation  $I \models \varphi$  (*I* satisfies  $\varphi$ ; *I* is a model of  $\varphi$ ;  $\varphi$  is true under *I*) for interpretations *I* and formulas  $\varphi$  by

*I* |= ⊤ *I* ̸|= ⊥  $I \models a$  iff *I*(*a*) = **T** (for all *a* ∈ *A*)  $I \models \neg \varphi$  iff  $I \not\models \varphi$  $I = (\varphi \vee \psi)$  iff  $(I = \varphi \text{ or } I = \psi)$  $I \models (\varphi \land \psi)$  iff  $(I \models \varphi \text{ and } I \models \psi)$ 

Note: Interpretations are also called valuations or truth assignments.

# <span id="page-23-0"></span>Propositional Logic Terminology (1)

- A logical formula  $\varphi$  is satisfiable if there is at least one interpretation *I* such that  $I \models \varphi$ .
- Otherwise it is unsatisfiable.
- A logical formula  $\varphi$  is valid or a tautology if  $I \models \varphi$  for all interpretations *I*.
- A logical formula  $\psi$  is a logical consequence of a logical formula  $\varphi$ , written  $\varphi = \psi$ , if  $I \models \psi$  for all interpretations *I* with  $I \models \varphi$ .
- Two logical formulas  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are logically equivalent, written  $\varphi \equiv \psi$ , if  $\varphi \models \psi$  and  $\psi \models \varphi$ .

Question: How to phrase these in terms of models?

# <span id="page-24-0"></span>Propositional Logic Terminology (2)

- A logical formula that is a proposition *a* or a negated proposition ¬*a* for some atomic proposition *a* ∈ *A* is a literal.
- $\blacksquare$  A formula that is a disjunction of literals is a clause. This includes unit clauses  $\ell$  consisting of a single literal and the empty clause  $\perp$  consisting of zero literals.
- A formula that is a conjunction of literals is a monomial. This includes unit monomials  $\ell$  consisting of a single literal and the empty monomial ⊤ consisting of zero literals.

#### Normal forms:

- negation normal form (NNF)
- conjunctive normal form (CNF)
- disjunctive normal form (DNF)

<span id="page-25-0"></span>

# **[Summary](#page-25-0)**

### <span id="page-26-0"></span>**Summary**

- Transition systems are (typically huge) directed graphs that encode how the state of the world can change.
- **Propositional logic allows us to compactly describe** complex information about large sets of interpretations as logical formulas.